

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/780,103	02/17/2004	Hector F. DeLuca	1256-00947	9902
26753 75	90 09/20/2005		EXAM	INER
•	CEALES, STARKE & S.	QAZI, SABIHA NAIM		
100 EAST WIS MILWAUKEE	CONSIN AVENUE, SUIT . WI 53202	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1616	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. U	<u> </u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summany	10/780,103	DELUCA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sabiha Qazi	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 July 2005.							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49,54-59,64-69,74-79 and 84-88</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) <u>59, 64-69,74-79 and 84-88</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 49 and 54-58 is/are rejected.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
· <u> </u>	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) 🔀 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom reprioration (1 10-102)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/25/05 has been entered.

Claims 49, 54-59, 64-69, 74-79 and 84-88 are pending. Claims 64-69, 74-79 and 84-88 are allowed. Claims 49 and 54-58 are drawn to method of use of dihomo compounds

Claims 59, 64-69, 74-79 and 84-88 are drawn to method of use of dimethylene compounds.

The closest prior art is DeLuca et al., US Patent 5,843,928. Prior art does not teach dimethylene compounds as presently claimed.

Response to Arguments

- Rejection under 112 (1) is withdrawn because claims are amended.
- Double Patenting rejection over 10/669,990 is maintained for the same reasons as set forth in our previous office action.
- The comparative data and unexpected results presented on page 9 of remarks clearly show the differences between 2MD and presently claimed method of using 26, 27 dihomo compound. Applicants have clearly demonstrated that the activities of the two homologues are not the same and results were not expected. The presently claimed 26,

27 dihomo along with other difference showed significantly greater intestinal Ca

Page 3

transport.

• It is not clear from all the explanations that what is the advantage of using dihomo

compound over 2MD.

• When a clear understanding of the unexpected activity regarding the claimed methods of

dihomo compound vs dimethyl compound would be established this rejection will be

withdrawn.

The claims 49, 54-58 are drawn to a method of treating a cancerous disease selected from

a group consisting of leukemia, colon cancer, breast cancer, and prostate cancer comprising

administering to a patient with said disease an effective amount of 20(S)-1alpha, 25-dihydroxy-

z-methylene-26,27-dihomo-19-nor vitamin D3 and its analogues one of them having the

following structure (claim 49).

Application/Control Number: 10/780,103

Art Unit: 1616

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground

provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 49, 54-58 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-22 of copending Application No. 10/669,990. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications' claims are drawn to a method of treating a cancerous disease comprising administering to a patient with said disease an effective amount of a composition.

20(S)-1alpha,25-dihydroxy-z-methylene-26,27-dihomo-19-nor vitamin D3 (Application '103)

Application/Control Number: 10/780,103 Page 6

Art Unit: 1616

2-methylene-19-nor-20(S)-1aplha,25-dihydroxy vitamin D3 (Application '990)

Instant claims differ from the copending application in having 1 methyl group more at 26,27 position. The compound of Application '990 is a homologue of the presently claimed invention. Homologues are known to have similar properties. Therefore, the presently claimed method(s) would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sabiha Qazi whose telephone number is (571) 272-0622. The examiner can normally be reached on any business day.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,103

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S. C. 9

Page 7

Thursday, September 15, 2005

SABIHA QAZI, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER